

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,403		03/01/2004	M. Selim Unlu	BU-021AX	1449	
207	7590	09/06/2006	•	EXAMINER		
		CHURGIN, GAG	WILCZEWSKI, MARY A			
	T OFFICE SQUARE , MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DOSTOR	4, MA 021			2822		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	ation No. Applicant(s)					
		10/790,403	UNLU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		M. Wilczewski	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	·—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-12 and 27-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
_	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	acourt philodical (1.10-102)					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,403

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment submitted on June 15, 2006.

Drawings

One sheet of replacement drawings was received on June 15, 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al., Patent Application Publication 2001/0032977, of record, in view of Yamazaki et al., US Patent 6,335,231, newly cited.

Abe et al. disclose a method of fabricating a buried reflective layer in silicon by a method as shown in Figure 9 which comprises: providing a first silicon substrate having a silicon dioxide (A) layer on a surface thereof, providing a second silicon substrate 16, implanting hydrogen into the first silicon substrate to a predetermined depth (20) forming a boundary between the hydrogen-implanted silicon and the unimplanted silicon on either side thereof (paragraph [0059]), bonding the two substrates at room temperature (paragraph [0059]) and annealing the wafers at a temperature of 800-1100°C (paragraph [0050] and [0063]) to promote cleaving or fracturing (splitting,

Art Unit: 2822

destacking) of the hydrogen implanted regions 20 and to strengthen the bond (paragraphs [0051] and [0063]), separating the silicon at the hydrogen boundary thereby exposing a separated surface and then repeating the above-identified steps, i.e., providing another silicon wafer having a silicon dioxide layer thereon, implanting hydrogen into that wafer, bonding that wafer to the exposed silicon surface and separating to expose a separated surface (paragraph [0051], [0060], and [0064]). Abe et al. lack anticipation only of performing an heating step to a cleaving temperature, as recited in lines 10-16 of claim 24.

Yamazaki discloses a method of fabricating an highly reliable SOI substrate which comprises providing a first silicon wafer 101 having a layer of silicon dioxide 102 on a surface thereof (Fig. 1A), providing a second silicon wafer 104 (Fig. 1C), implanting hydrogen to a predetermined depth 103 in silicon wafer 101thereby forming a boundary between hydrogen implanted silicon and unimplanted silicon on either side thereof, bonding the two silicon wafers together by heating to promote cleaving or fracturing of regions containing hydrogen from regions not containing hydrogen (Figs. 1C and 1D) by first heating at 400 to 600 ° to cause cleaving followed by a second heating step at 1050 to 1150 °C to strengthen the bond (col. 6, lines 16-32), separating the silicon at the hydrogen boundary thereby exposing a separated surface (Figs. 1D and 1E).

Yamazaki teaches a wafer bonding process that is very similar to that of Abe et al. Yamazaki clearly teaches to bond the wafers and to perform a first heat treatment at a cleaving temperature in order to promote cleaving of the regions containing hydrogen from those regions not containing hydrogen and to perform a second heat treatment at

a bond strengthening temperature to strengthen the bond between the two wafers. Yamazaki clearly teaches that this two-step heat treatment yields a stabilized bonding interface, which is very strong (see col. 6, lines 33-37, of Yamazaki). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the two-step heat treatment of Yamazaki could be substituted for the single annealing step of Abe et al. thereby yielding a very strong, stabilized bonding interface.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-23 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,403

Art Unit: 2822

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner Tech Center 2800